



WHAT DISTINGUISHES AN INDEPENDENTLY OBSERVED VECTOR FROM AN ESTIMATED MULTIVARIATE NORMAL POPULATION?

Ву

A. C. BITTNER, JR. Systems Integration Division

3 April 1972

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

NAVAL MISSILE CENTER

Point Mugu, California

2004 02 05 113



NAVAL MISSILE CENTER

AN ACTIVITY OF THE NAVAL AIR SYSTEMS COMMAND

E. E. IRISH, CAPT USN Commanding Officer

D. F. SULLIVAN
Technical Director

CDR W. H. Nelson, Head, Human Factors Engineering Branch; Mr. E. P. Olsen, Head, Systems Integration Division; and Mr. J. J. O'Brien, Associate Laboratory Officer, have reviewed this report for publication.

Technical Publication TP-72-25

Published by	Editorial Branch
	Technical Publications Division
	Photo/Graphics Department
Security classification	
First printing	

CONTENTS

																					Page
SUMMARY .			•							•			•	•	٠	•	٠	•			1
GLOSSARY .																					3
INTRODUCTION	N			•		•	•	•	•	•			•				•		•	•	5
APPROACH .										•			٠								6
DERIVATIONS					•																6
DISCUSSION .				•							•										10
REFERENCES				•							•			•							10
APPENDIX Two Worki	ing	Th	ieo:	ren	18																11

NAVAL MISSILE CENTER Point Mugu, California

WHAT DISTINGUISHES AN INDEPENDENTLY OBSERVED VECTOR FROM AN ESTIMATED MULTIVARIATE NORMAL POPULATION?

By A. C. BITTNER, JR.

SUMMARY

Once a researcher has determined that a multivariate observation \underline{x}_0 is different from an estimated population, he still has an unanswered question. He wants to know, "How is it different?" A method for answering this question is considered in this report.

Two results are shown and both involve the estimated population parameters \overline{x} -the estimated mean, an \hat{z} -the estimated covariance matrix. The first result answers the question "Which linear combinations of the elements of the difference $\underline{x}_0 - \overline{x}$ are significant?" The second answers the question "Which elements of the difference $\underline{x}_0 - \overline{x}$ are significant?" Both results are derived using S. N. Roy's Union-Intersection Principle; hence, one can set an overall a/significance/type-1 level for the totality of tests.

A brief discussion of an application is also presented.

Publication Unclassified.

GLOSSARY

 \underline{x}_0 A p-component (p by 1) vector observation, "o", independent of the vectors $\underline{x}_1, \underline{x}_2, \dots, \underline{x}_n$

n The number of independent observations which are independent of the vector $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_0$

 $\overline{\underline{x}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underline{x}_i$ A p-component (p by 1) estimate of the population mean vector $\underline{\mu}$

 μ A p-variate (p by 1) population mean vector

A p by p population covariance matrix

An unbiased estimate of the population covariance matrix based on m degrees of freedom

 Σ^{-1} The inverse of the matrix Σ

a The probability that the (null) hypothesis H_0 will be rejected when it is true

 H_0 The hypothesis that the vector $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_0$ and the set of vectors $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_1, \underline{\mathbf{x}}_2, \dots, \underline{\mathbf{x}}_n$ are from the same population

 H_1 The negation of the hypothesis H_0

 $N(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma)$ The multivariate normal population with parameters $\underline{\mu}$ and Σ

m The number of degrees of freedom of the matrix estimate $\hat{\Sigma}$

t A "students" t-test statistic

c A (1 by p) p-variate vector of constant coefficients

The p by 1 transpose of the vector \underline{c} The (1 by p) p-variate vector which maximizes the function $T^2(\underline{c})$ \underline{e}_i A 1 by p vector which has a 1 as the i-th element and zeros elsewhere $\frac{\partial T^2(\underline{c})}{\partial (\underline{c})}$ A p-variate (p by 1) vector of partial derivatives whose i-th component is $\frac{2}{\partial T(\underline{c})}$ $\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial (c_i)}$ A p by 1 vector which has zeros for all the elements \underline{s}_i^2 An unbiased estimate of the i-th variate from $N(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma)$ D
A matrix conformal with \underline{x}

INTRODUCTION

In a previous report by the author (reference 1), a probability density/distribution function was developed for an observed (p by 1) vector $(\underline{\mathbf{x}}_0)$ from a multivariate normal distribution with estimated parameters (see A-1)* This result enables a researcher to ask the general question "How unlikely is it that the observation $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_0$ arose from $N(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma)$ where $\underline{\mu}$ is estimated by $\overline{\Sigma}$?" Specifically the statistic:

$$T^{2} = \frac{n}{n+1} \left(\underline{x}_{0} - \overline{\underline{x}} \right)^{t} \stackrel{\Delta}{\Sigma}^{-1} \left(\underline{x}_{0} - \underline{x} \right)$$
 (1)

which is distributed as $\frac{mp}{m-p+1}$ F with p and m-p+1 degrees of freedom (df), can be compared with tables of the F distribution for probability of occurrence. If the probability of occurrence is less than some specified amount (a), then the hypothesis (H₀) that \underline{x}_0 is from the same population that generated \underline{x} could be rejected. In other words, the hypothesis (H₁) that the populations which generated \underline{x}_0 and \underline{x} are not the same would be accepted.

The rejection of the hypothesis that \underline{x}_0 and $\overline{\underline{x}}$ are from the same population (H_0) doesn't show which of the variates of \underline{x}_0 were significantly different. Although individual tests of significance could be constructed (e.g., t-tests), there is no control of the overall a level for the set of comparisons. The reasons for this are twofold; there are p such tests, and the variates are generally correlated. Hence an approach is needed for testing the individual variates of \underline{x}_0 while controlling the overall a-level. The purpose of the present development is to delineate such a procedure.

^{*}The results A-1 and A-2 are working theorems which are given in the appendix.

APPROACH

The approach employed here uses S.N. Roy's Union-Intersection Principle (reference 2, and reference 3). This principle allows one to fix the overall significance level for the totality of tests of linear compounds of the difference $\underline{x}_0 - \overline{\underline{x}}$. Operationally, this is accomplished by employing the same (a-level) criterion required for the test of the most unlikely linear compound, $\underline{\tilde{c}}(\underline{x}_0 - \overline{\underline{x}})$, to all particular tests of linear compounds. Since the individual variates $x_{0i} - \overline{\underline{x}}_i$ (i=1,...,p) can be tested by the linear compounds $\underline{e}_i(\underline{x}_0 - \overline{\underline{x}})$ (i=1,...,p), this procedure will yield a solution to the problem posed in the Introduction.*

Let us define the statistic $T^2(\underline{c})$ as follows:

$$T^{2}(\underline{c}) = \frac{n}{n+1} [\underline{c}(\underline{x}_{o} - \overline{\underline{x}})]^{t} [\underline{c} \ \overline{\lambda} \underline{c}^{t}]^{-1} [\underline{c}(\underline{x}_{o} - \overline{\underline{x}})]$$
(2)

where \underline{c} is a fixed 1 by p vector. This equation is a special case of equation (1) with the p-variate terms \underline{x}_0 , \overline{x} , and \hat{z} replaced by the corresponding univariate terms $\underline{c}\underline{x}_0$, $\underline{c}\underline{x}$, and \underline{c} \hat{z} \underline{c}^t . These univariate terms are those appropriate for linear compounds of the respective variates (see A-2). Under the hypothesis (H₀) that \underline{x}_0 is from the same population that generated \overline{x} , equation (2) is distributed as F with 1 and m degrees of freedom. Hence, the most unlikely $T^2(\underline{c})$ value would occur for that c which maximizes (2).

DERIVATIONS

In the following, a theorem will be stated which contains both the conditions for maximizing $T^2(c)$, and a procedure for testing the significance of the totality of linear compounds with a fixed overall significance level. Consider the following:

Theorem 1.0. If $T^2(\underline{c})$ is defined as in equation (2), then its maximum value is

$$T^{2}(\widetilde{c}) = \frac{n}{n+1} (\underline{x}_{o} - \overline{\underline{x}})^{t} \widetilde{\Sigma}^{-1} (\underline{x}_{o} - \overline{\underline{x}})$$
(3)

which is distributed as $\frac{mp}{m-p+1}$ F with p and m-p+1 degrees of freedom when H_0 is true. This value of $T^2(\underline{c})$ is obtained for

$$\underline{\underline{c}} = (\underline{x}_0 - \underline{\overline{x}})^{\dagger} \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \tag{4}$$

* e_i (i=1, ..., p) is a 1 by p vector with a 1 in the i-th entry and zeros elsewhere.

Further, the totality of linear compounds of the form $\underline{c}(\underline{x}_0 - \overline{\underline{x}})$ could be tested for significance at the overall a-level by the test:

$$T^{2}(\underline{c}) \stackrel{H_{1}}{\underset{H_{0}}{\leq}} \frac{mp}{m - p + 1} F_{\alpha:p,m-p+1}$$
(5)

Here H_1 is accepted if $T^2(c)$ is greater than the product of (mp)/(m-p+1) and the a-level F for p and m-p+1 degrees of freedom and H_0 is accepted otherwise.

Proof. Let is first rewrite equation (2) as follows:

$$T^{2}(\underline{c}) = \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{\underline{c}(\underline{x}_{o} - \underline{x}) (\underline{x}_{o} - \underline{x})^{t} \underline{c}^{t}}{\underline{c}^{2}\underline{c}^{t}}$$
(6)

To obtain the condition for maximizing $T^2(\underline{c})$, let us take its partial derivative with respect to \underline{c} and set the resulting system equal to $\underline{0}$. From the rules of differentation, it can be seen that

$$\frac{\partial T^{2}(\underline{c})}{\partial \underline{c}} = \frac{n}{n+1} - (\underline{c} \stackrel{\wedge}{\Sigma} \underline{c}^{t})^{-2} \left[\underline{c} \stackrel{\wedge}{\Sigma} \underline{c}^{t} \left[2(\underline{x}_{o} - \overline{\underline{x}}) (\underline{x}_{o} - \overline{\underline{x}})^{t} \underline{c}^{t} \right] \right]$$

$$-\underline{c}(\underline{x}_{o} - \overline{\underline{x}})(\underline{x}_{o} - \overline{\underline{x}})^{t}\underline{c}^{t}[2\Sigma\underline{c}^{t}]$$
(7)

Setting this system equal to $\underline{0}$ and solving, one can obtain the condition:

$$\left[\overset{\triangle}{\Sigma}^{-1}(\underline{x}_{0} - \underline{\overline{x}})(\underline{x}_{0} - \underline{\overline{x}})^{t} - \lambda I_{p}\right] \underline{c}^{t} = \underline{0}$$
(8)

where

$$\lambda = \frac{\underline{c}(\underline{x}_0 - \overline{\underline{x}}) (\underline{x}_0 - \overline{\underline{x}})^t \underline{c}^t}{c^{\frac{\Lambda}{2}} c^t}$$
(9)

It is apparent upon comparison of (9) with (6) that the maximum $T^2(\underline{c})$ would be obtained for the transposed eigenvector which corresponds to the largest eigenvalue of the matrix:

$$\frac{n}{n+1} \stackrel{\triangle}{\Sigma}^{-1} (\underline{x}_o - \overline{\underline{x}}) (\underline{x}_o - \overline{\underline{x}})^t$$
 (10)

There will be one nonzero eigenvalue of (10) since the rank of $(\bar{x}_0 - \bar{x}) (x_0 - \bar{x})^t$ is one and the product of it and any conformal nonsingular matrix (e.g., $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-1}$) would have the same rank.* Since the trace (tr) of (10) equals the sum of its eigenvalues (of which there is exactly one, $T^2(\hat{c})$, that is nonzero), it follows that

$$T^{2}(\widetilde{c}) = \operatorname{tr}\left[\frac{n}{n+1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\underline{x}_{o} - \overline{\underline{x}}) (\underline{x}_{o} - \overline{\underline{x}})^{t}\right]$$
(11)

This can, by the commutative laws for traces, be rewritten

$$T^{2}(\tilde{c}) = \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right) \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\underline{x}_{o} - \overline{\underline{x}}\right)^{t} \stackrel{\triangle}{\nearrow}^{-1} \left(\underline{x}_{o} - \overline{\underline{x}}\right)\right]$$
 (12)

$$= \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)(\underline{x}_{o} - \overline{\underline{x}})^{t} \stackrel{A}{\Sigma}^{-1}(\underline{x}_{o} - \overline{\underline{x}})$$
 (13)

which is the first result (3) of Theorem 1.0.* The distribution of (3) or (13) is given by A-1; hence, the first result of the theorem is completed.

The second result of this theorem ($\underline{\tilde{c}}$) follows upon substitution of the value of $\underline{\tilde{c}}$ given in equation (4) for c in equation (6). This yields a $T^2(c)$ value of

$$\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{\left[\left(\underline{x}_{o}-\overline{\underline{x}}\right)^{t}\overset{\Delta}{\Sigma}^{-1}\right]\left(\underline{x}_{o}-\overline{\underline{x}}\right)\left(\underline{x}_{o}-\overline{\underline{x}}\right)^{t}\left[\left(\underline{x}_{o}-\overline{\underline{x}}\right)^{t}\overset{\Delta}{\Sigma}^{-1}\right]^{t}}$$

$$\left(\underline{x}_{o}-\overline{\underline{x}}\right)^{t}\overset{\Delta}{\Sigma}^{-1}(\underline{x}_{o}-\overline{\underline{x}}) \tag{14}$$

or equivalently

$$\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)(\underline{x}_{o}-\overline{\underline{x}})^{\dagger} \stackrel{\Delta}{\Sigma}^{-1}(\underline{x}_{o}-\overline{\underline{x}})$$
(15)

Because this corresponds to the maximum value of T²(c), equation (5) gives the desired vector.

The last portion of the theorem can be seen when one recalls the nature of the Union-Intersection principle. Specifically, by employing the significance criterion (a - level) of $T^2(\mathfrak{C})$ for each test of the type $T^2(\mathfrak{C})$, one is assured that the totality of such tests has a joint significance level of a. Since $\frac{mp}{m-p+1}$ $F_{a:p,m-p+1}$ is this criteria, as indicated by the first part of the theorem and A-1, the general test (5) follows directly.

^{*}See reference 4 for discussion of the rank of the product of two matrices.

^{**}Reference 4 also contains a discussion of various results concerning the traces of matrices.

Tests for the individual components can be derived from specialization of equation (5). Since testing a specific component for significance (i-th) is equivalent to testing $T^2(\underline{e}_i)$ for significance, one could substitute \underline{e}_i for \underline{c} in equation (5) and obtain a specialized result. This will be considered in the remainder of this section.

Substitution of \underline{e}_i for \underline{c} in equation (5) yields

$$T^{2}(\underline{e}_{i}) \stackrel{H_{1}}{\underset{H_{0}}{\overset{mp}{= p+1}}} F_{\alpha:p,m-p+1}$$
(16)

which by equation (2) is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)\left[\underline{e}_{i}\left(\underline{x}_{o}-\overline{\underline{x}}\right)\right]^{t}\left[\underline{e}_{i}\stackrel{\wedge}{\sum}\underline{e}_{i}^{t}\right]^{-1}\left[\underline{e}_{i}(\underline{x}_{o}-\overline{\underline{x}})\right]\stackrel{H_{1}}{\underset{H_{0}}{\overset{mp}{=p+1}}}F_{a:p,m-p+1}$$
(17)

Multiplying out each of the bracketed terms,

$$\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)\left[x_{oi} - \overline{x}_{i}\right]\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} -1\right] \left[x_{oi} - \overline{x}_{i}\right] \stackrel{H_{1}}{\underset{H_{0}}{\overset{mp}{=}}} \frac{mp}{m-p+1} F_{\alpha:p,m-p+1}$$
(18)

where x_{0i} is the i-th entry of \underline{x}_{0} , \overline{x}_{i} is the i-th entry of \underline{x}_{i} , and \hat{x}_{ii} is the i, i-th entry of \underline{x}_{0} . Since in general the diagonal entries of \hat{x} are variance estimates, $[\hat{x}_{ii}]^{T}$ can be written as $\frac{1}{s_{i}^{2}}$ where s_{i}^{2} is the estimated variance of the i-th variate. Thus equation (18) can be written:

$$\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right) \frac{(x_{0i} - \bar{x}_i)^2}{s_i^2} \stackrel{H_1}{\leq} \frac{mp}{m - p+1} F_{a:p,m-p+1}$$
(19)

which is a considerable simplification of the test equation of the theorem. This result is summarized in the following corollary:

Corollary 1.1 Let x_{oi} be the i-th entry of \underline{x}_{o} , \overline{x}_{i} be the i-th entry of \underline{x} , and s_{i}^{2} be the i, i-th entry of \underline{x} . Then the set of p-variates of \underline{x}_{o} can be individually tested for difference from $\underline{\mu}$ by

$$T^{2}(\underline{e}_{i}) = \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right) \frac{(x_{0i} - \overline{x}_{i})^{2}}{s_{i}^{2}} \stackrel{H_{1}}{\leq} \frac{mp}{m - p+1} F_{\alpha:p,m-p+1}$$
(20)

with assurance that the overall significance level for the entire set of p tests is less than a.

DISCUSSION

In the above, two results were derived which answered the question "How does the observation $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{o}$ differ from the population which generated $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$?" The first of these, Theorem 1.0, allows one to ask if any particular linear combination of variates $(\underline{c}\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{o})$ distinguishes $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{o}$ from the population which generated $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$. The second result, Corollary 1.1, allows one to ask if any particular variate distinguishes $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{o}$ from the $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ generating population. Both of these results have obvious practical application. Let us briefly consider one.

In a multiple criteria experiment, an unforseen (random) event occurs which makes suspect a single observation \underline{x}_0 . The first question which faces the research is, "Is \underline{x}_0 from the same population as the set of other observations $(\underline{x}_1, \underline{x}_2, \ldots, \underline{x}_n)$ from the same condition?" This question can be answered by employing the statistic:

$$T^{2} = \frac{n}{n+1} \left(\underline{x}_{o} - \overline{\underline{x}} \right)^{t} \stackrel{\Delta}{\cancel{\Sigma}}^{-1} \left(\underline{x}_{o} - \overline{\underline{x}} \right)$$
 (21)

where
$$\overline{\underline{x}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underline{x}_{i}$$
, $\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\underline{x}_{i} - \overline{\underline{x}}) (\underline{x}_{i} - \overline{\underline{x}})^{t}$, and \underline{T}^{2} is distributed as $\frac{(n-1)p}{n-p}$ F with

p and n-p degrees of freedom.* Given that this statistic (21) is significant, the next question is, "Which variates of \underline{x}_0 differ from the population which generated $\overline{\underline{x}}$ and $\overset{\wedge}{\underline{\lambda}}$?" This could be answered by applying Corollary 1.1 with m equaling n-1. Guided by the costs of observations and their number, the results of these tests would be useful for decisions regarding the inclusion of \underline{x}_0 as part of the data of the experiment.

The above does not exhaust the set of possible applications. Hopefully this application will suggest others to the reader.

REFERENCES

- Naval Missile Center. Probability Density When an Independent Observation is From an Estimated Multivariate Normal Population, by A. C. Bittner Jr. Point Mugu, Calif., 15 Jul 1971. (TP-71-33) UNCLASSIFIED
- 2. Morrison, D. F. Multivariate Statistical Methods, San Francisco, McGraw-Hill, 1967.
- 3. Roy, S. N. Some Aspects of Multivariate Analysis, New York, Wiley, 1957.
- 4. Marcus, M. and Minc, H. Introduction to Linear Algebra, New York, Macmillian, 1965.
- 5. Anderson, T. W. An Introduction to Multivariate Statistical Analysis, New York, Wiley, 1958.

^{*}The statistic shown in (21) is a variation of A-1. A proof for its distribution was shown in reference 1.

APPENDIX

TWO WORKING THEOREMS

The first theorem (A-1) was shown in reference 1 by the author. The second theorem (A-2) was shown by Anderson (reference 5) in 1958.

A-1

If \underline{x}_0 is an observed p-variate vector from $N(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma)$,

$$\underline{\widetilde{x}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underline{x}_{i}$$

is a mean vector also from $N(\mu, \mathbb{Z})$ based on n independent observations, and m^{\bigwedge} is the sum of the matrix products of m independent $N(\underline{0}, \mathbb{Z})$ p-variate vectors $(\underline{Z}_1, \underline{Z}_2, \dots, \underline{Z}_m)$; i.e.,

$$\mathbf{m} \stackrel{\wedge}{\Sigma} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \underline{\mathbf{Z}}_{i} \underline{\mathbf{Z}}_{i}^{t}$$

then

$$T^{2} = \frac{n}{n+1} (\underline{x}_{o} - \overline{\underline{x}})^{t} \sum^{\Lambda} {}^{-1} (\underline{x}_{o} - \overline{\underline{x}})$$

is distributed as:

$$\frac{mp}{m-p+1} F$$

where F has p and m - p + 1 degrees of freedom.

If x is distributed according to $N(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma)$, then $Z = D\underline{x}$ is distributed according to $N(D\underline{\mu}, D\Sigma D^t)$.

Multivariate analysis Population (statistics) Statistical analysis I. Bittner, A. C. Jr.	UNCLASSIFIED	1. Multivariate analysis 2. Population (statistics) 3. Statistical analysis I. Bittner, A. C. Jr. UNCLASSIFIED
Naval Missile Center, Point Mugu, Calif. 93042 WHAT DISTINGUISHES AN INDEPENDENTLY OBSERVED VECTOR FROM AN ESTIMATED MULTIVARIATE NORMAL POPULATION? 3Apr72 12p. TP-72-25 UNCLASSIFIED Unlimited Includes 5 references		Naval Missile Center, Point Mugu, Calif. 93042 WHAT DISTINGUISHES AN INDEPENDENTLY OBSERVED VECTOR FROM AN ESTIMATED MULTIVARIATE NORMAL POPULATION? 3Apr72 12p. TP-72-25 UNCLASSIFIED Unlimited Includes 5 references
Multivariate analysis Population (statistics) Statistical analysis L. Bittner, A. C. Jr.	UNCLASSIFIED	Multivariate analysis Population (statistics) Statistical analysis L Bittner, A. C. Jr. UNCLASSIFIED
Naval Missile Center, Point Mugu, Calif. 93042 WHAT DISTINGUISHES AN INDEPENDENTLY OBSERVED VECTOR FROM AN ESTIMATED MULTIVARIATE NORMAL POPULATION? 3Apr72 12p. TP-72-25 UNCLASSIFIED Unlimited Includes 5 references		Naval Missile Center, Point Mugu, Calif. 93042 WHAT DISTINGUISHES AN INDEPENDENTLY OBSERVED VECTOR FROM AN ESTIMATED MULTIVARIATE NORMAL POPULATION? 3Apr72 12p. TP-72-25 UNCLASSIFIED Unlimited Includes 5 references

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION

Attn: NMAT-0313 NMAT-0325 Commander Naval Air Systems Command Headquarters Washington, DC 20360 Attn: AIR-604 AIR-303B AIR-303B AIR-303C AIR-340D AIR-510 AIR-5313 AIR-5337 AIR-5337 AIR-53371 Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314 Attn: TIOG Chief of Naval Operations Navy Department Washington, DC 20350 Attn: OP-507 OP-701H Commander Naval Ship Systems Command Headquarters Washington, DC 20360 Attn: Librarian Commander Naval Electronics Systems Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office Branch Office San Francisco Annex 1076 Mission St. San Francisco, CA 94013 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office San Francisco Annex 1076 Mission St. San Francisco, CA 94013 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office San Francisco, CA 94013 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office San Francisco, CA 94013 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office San Francisco, CA 94013 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office San Francisco, CA 94013 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office San Francisco, CA 94013 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office San Francisco, CA 94013 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office Branch Office San Francisco, CA 94013 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office Branch Office San Francisco, CA 94013 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office Branch Office San Francisco, CA 94013 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office San Francisco, CA 94013	EXTERNAL	Copies	EXTERNAL	Copies
Commander Naval Air Systems Command Headquarters Washington, DC 20360 Atn: AIR-604 AIR-104 AIR-303B AIR-3034C AIR-510 AIR-510 AIR-5313 AIR-5337 AIR-53371 AIR-53371 AIR-53371 Chief of Naval Operations Navy Department Washington, DC 20350 Atn: OP-701H Commander Naval Ship Systems Command Headquarters Washington, DC 20360 Atn: Librarian Office of Naval Research Branch Office Office of Naval Research Branch Office San Francisco Annex 1076 Mission St. San Francisco, CA 94013 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office San Francisco, CA 94013 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office San Francisco, CA 94013 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office San Francisco, CA 94013 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office Box 39 FPO New York 09510	Naval Material Command Ileadquarters Washington, DC 20360 Attn: NMAT-0313		Navy Department Washington, DC 20360 Attn: Code 455	1 1
AIR-303B AIR-3034C AIR-340D AIR-510 AIR-510 AIR-5313 AIR-533B1 AIR-533B1 AIR-5337 AIR-5337 AIR-53371 Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314 Attn: TIOG Chief of Naval Operations Navy Department Washington, DC 20350 Attn: OP-507 OP-701H Commander Naval Ship Systems Washington, DC 20360 Attn: Librarian AIR-3034C Branch Office San Francisco Annex 1076 Mission St. San Francisco, CA 94013 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office San Francisco Annex 1076 Mission St. San Francisco, CA 94013 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office San Francisco Annex 1076 Mission St. San Francisco, CA 94013 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office San Francisco Annex 1076 Mission St. Chicago, IL 60604 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office Branch Office Box 39 FPO New York 09510 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office Box 39 FPO New York 09510 Director Office of Naval Research Director Office of Naval Research Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office Box 39 FPO New York 09510	Naval Air Systems Command Headquarters Washington, DC 20360	2	Office of Naval Research Branch Office 495 Summer St.	1
AIR-533B1 AIR-5337 AIR-53371 Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314 Attn: TIOG Chief of Naval Operations Navy Department Washington, DC 20350 Attn: OP-507 OP-701H Commander Naval Ship Systems Command Headquarters Washington, DC 20360 Attn: Librarian AIR-533B1 1 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office San Francisco, CA 94013 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office Box 39 Attn: Librarian Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office Box 39 FPO New York 09510 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office Box 39 FPO New York 09510 Director Office of Naval Research	AIR-303B AIR-3034C AIR-340D AIR-510	1 1 1 1	Office of Naval Research Branch Office New York Annex 207 West 24th St.	
Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314 Attn: TIOG Chief of Naval Operations Navy Department Washington, DC 20350 Attn: OP-507 OP-701H Commander Naval Ship Systems Command Headquarters Washington, DC 20360 Attn: Librarian Commander Naval Electronics Systems Alexandria, VA 22314 San Francisco, CA 94013 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office South Dearborn St. Chicago, IL 60604 Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office Box 39 Attn: Librarian Director Office of Naval Research	AIR-533B1 AIR-5337 AIR-53371	1 1 1	Director Office of Naval Research	1
Navy Department Washington, DC 20350 Attn: OP-507 OP-701H Commander Naval Ship Systems Command Headquarters Washington, DC 20360 Attn: Librarian Commander Naval Electronics Systems Office of Naval Research Branch Office Box 39 Attn: Librarian Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office Box 39 Attn: Librarian Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office Box 39 Attn: Librarian Director Office of Naval Research	Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314 Attn: TIOG		San Francisco Annex 1076 Mission St. San Francisco, CA 94013	1
Naval Ship Systems Command Headquarters Washington, DC 20360 Attn: Librarian Commander Naval Electronics Systems Office of Naval Research Branch Office Box 39 FPO New York 09510 Director Office of Naval Research	Navy Department Washington, DC 20350 Attn: OP-507		Office of Naval Research Branch Office 219 South Dearborn St.	1
Commander Director Naval Electronics Systems Office of Naval Research	Naval Ship Systems Command Headquarters Washington, DC 20360	2	Office of Naval Research Branch Office Box 39	1
Washington, DC 20360 1030 E. Green St.	Commander Naval Electronics Systems Command Headquarters Washington, DC 20360		Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1030 E. Green St.	1

EXTERNAL	Copies	EXTERNAL	Copies
Director Naval Research Laboratory Washington, DC 20390 Attn: Technical Information Office	1 2	Superintendent Naval Posgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940 Commander	2
Commander Naval Air Development Cente Warminster, PA 18974 Attn: ADLR (Technical Libra		Naval Command Control Communications Laboratory Center San Diego, CA 92152	1
Commander Naval Air Test Center Patuxent River, MD 20670 Attn: Technical Library	2	Office, Secretary of the Ar Assistant Secretary for R&D The Pentagon, Room 3E383 Washington, DC 20360	
Commander Naval Weapons Center China Lake, CA 93555 Attn: Code 3513	1	Chief of Research and Devel Department of the Army Washington, DC 20315 Attn: CDR/R	opment
Commanding Officer Naval Avionics Facility 21st and Arlington Ave. Indianapolis, IN 46218	1	Commanding General Army CDR Fort Belvoir, VA 22060 Attn: Technical Library	1
Naval Safety Center Naval Air Station Norfolk, VA 23511	1	Commanding General U.S. Army Research and Development Activity Fort Iluachuca, AZ 85613	1
Commanding Officer Human Factors Support Branc Naval Personnel Research Activity San Diego, CA 92152	ch 1	Commanding Officer Army Human Engineering Laboratories Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	
Commanding Officer and Dire Navy Marine Engineering Laboratory Annapolis, MD 21402	ector	Attn: Technical Library Commanding General U.S. Army Laboratory Fort Eustis, VA 23604	1
Attn: Librarian Commanding Officer and Director Naval Training Devices Cent Orlando, FL 32813 Attn: Library (Technical)		Attn: Technical Library Commanding General TRECOM Fort Eustis, VA 23604 Attn: Library	1
		•	

EXTERNAL	Copies	EXTERNAL	Copies
Headquarters, USAF (RTD/RTM Washington, DC 20332 Attn: Technical Library	IF) 2	Human Resources Research O Division No. 6 (Aviation) P.O. Box 428	ffice
AMSMD-R Headquarters Mobility Comma Centerline, MI 48015	and 1	Fort Rucker, AL 36360 Attn: Librarian R. Wright	1 1
AFFDL Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433		Chief Engineering Electronics Se National Bureau of Standar Washington, DC 20360	
Attn: Technical Library	1	Attn: Library	1
Commander USAF 6570th Aero Medical Research Laboratory		Science and Technology Div Library of Congress Washington, DC 20540	rision 1
Wright-Patterson AFB, OII 45433		Officer in Charge	
Attn: AMRL-MRHED	1	Naval Aerospace Medical Re Laboratory	search
National Aeronautics and Space Administration 1520 H Street, N.W.		Naval Aerospace Medical In Naval Aerospace Medical Ce Aerospace Psychology Divis	nter
Washington, DC 20546 Attn: Library	1	Pensacola, FL 32512 Attn: CDR T. J. Gallagher	1
National Aeronautics and Sp Administration Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035	ace	Bureau of Medicine and Sur Aerospace Operational Psyc Branch Washington, DC 20390	hology
Attn: Librarian	1	Attn: CDR J. E. Goodson	1
National Aeronautics and Space Administration Ames Research Center Electronics Research Center 575 Technology Square Cambridge, MA 02139 Attn: Library	1		
Federal Aviation Agency Department of Transportatio 800 Independence Avenue, S. Washington, DC 20553			
Attn: Information Retrieval Branch HQ-630	2		

INTERNAL	Copies	INTERNAL
Technical Director Code 51 D. F. Sullivan	1	
Planning and Resources Management Office Code 51-1 R. W. Sexty	1	
Technical Consultant Code 530 L. S. Marquardt	1	
Systems Evaluation Division Code 5110 E. Q. Smith, Jr.	1	
Operations Research Divisio Code 5170 Dr. W. M. Simpson	n 1	
Laboratory Department Code 5300 T. P. Perry	1	
Reliability Office Code 5301-2 R. A. Harmen	1	
Systems Integration Division Code 5340 E. P. Olsen	on 1	
Code 5342 CDR W. H. Nelson A. C. Bittner, Jr.	20 10	
Technical Publications Divi Code 5632.2 Technical Library	ision 2	

Copies

Security Classification							
DOCUMENT CONT	ROL DATA - R	& D					
(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing	annotation must be e						
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)			ECURITY CLASSIFICATION				
Naval Missile Center		2b. GROUP	NCLASSIFIED				
Point Mugu, California 93042		26. GROUP					
3. REPORT TITLE		L					
		~~~~					
WHAT DISTINGUISHES AN INDEPENDENTLY O ESTIMATED MULTIVARIATE NORMAL POPULA		CTOR FROM	M AN				
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)	- Agr						
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)	The state of the s						
Alvah C. Bittner, Jr.							
6. REPORT DATE	78. TOTAL NO. O	FPAGES	76. NO. OF REFS				
3 April 1972	12		5				
88. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	98. ORIGINATOR	REPORT NUM	BER(S)				
b. PROJECT NO.	TP-7	72-25					
c.	9b. OTHER REPORT this report)	RT NO(S) (Any o	ther numbers that may be assigned				
d.							
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	<u> </u>						
Approved for public release; distribution unlimite	12. SPONSORING N	Systems Con					
13. ABSTRACT							
Once a researcher has determined that a mult estimated population, he still has an unanswered different?" A method for answering this question	question. He	wants to kn	ow, ''How is it				
Two results are shown and both involve the	estimated popu	lation paran	neters $\bar{x}$ -the estimated				
mean, and $\Sigma$ -the estimated covariance matrix. The combinations of the elements of the difference $\underline{x}_0$ question "Which elements of the difference $\underline{x}_0 - \overline{y}$ using S. N. Roy's Union-Intersection principle; he type-1 level for the totality of tests.	$-\overline{x}$ are significations	icant?'' Th nt?'' Both	e second answers the results are derived				
A brief discussion of an application is also p	presented.						
DD FORM 1/173 (PAGE 1)			<del> </del>				
DD 1 NOV 65 1 7 7 3.			LASSIFIED				
S/N 0101-807-6801		Security	y Classification				

#### UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification KEY WORDS ROLE ROLE WT ROLE **A**pplications Multivariate normal Normal population Significance test Statistics Union-intersection principle

DD FORM 1473 (BACK)
(PAGE 2)

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification